# SCPA (SELF-CERTIFYING PRIVATE ARBORIST) TREE EVALUATION REPORT

Submitted to:

Planning, Development & Design Coordination – Natural Resources 1400 N. Boulevard, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Tampa, FL 33607 (813) 274-3100 #4 FAX (813) 259-1838 SCASubmittals@tampagov.net

							Date of Evaluation:			
SITE Address: (Includes City, State & Zip)							Record #:			
Property Owner:							Phone:			
Addres	s of Own	er:								
Applicant/Agent:								Phone:		
				EVAI	LUATION DATA					
			All Tr	ees			Grand Trees Only		All Trees	
Tree #	Offsite Tree (Y/N)		Species (Common Name)	Tree Type (PT/ST/GT)*	Condition & Risk Rating (A-F / 3-12)*	DBH (Inches)	Shortest Length Diameter (Feet)	Longest Length Diameter (Feet)	Damaging Structure (Y/N)	
1										
2										
3										
4										
5 *nT = n	rotostad Tr	.00 (	T - Specimen Tree C	T - Crand Trac				Skotch		
*PT = Protected Tree, ST = Specimen Tree, GT = Grand Tree * $A = 100\%$ , $B = 90\%$ , $C = 75\%$ , $D = 40\%$ , $F = 0\%$										
71 - 7	0070, B —	707	DETERMII							
Propos	ed PRUNI	NG i			ecommended	N/A				
_	ed REMO		=	=	ecommended	N/A				
-			GENERAL	NOTES	_					
				TREE REP	LACEMENT BY	TYPE				
<u>Tree Credits</u> <u>Tree Debit</u>					<u>Debits</u>	<u>Mitigation</u>				
Type 1: Type 1:					1:	Type 1:				
Type 2:					2:	Type 2:				
Type 3:						Type 3:				
Palms: Palms:					ıs:		Pa	lms:	_	
					T SUBMITTED	ВҮ		$\widehat{}$		
SCA Na	ime:				SCA Nar	ne: (signature		R		
SCA Ph	one.		<u> </u>	CA Fmail:	•		ISA #	•		

This form is for use by approved City of Tampa certified private arborists only.

All inspections are completed from ground level and are visual in nature, with occasional, simple wood soundings. Refer to Chapter 27, Sec. 27-284.1.1 for tree and landscape regulations.

<sup>\*</sup>See reverse for information on ratings. Hazard Ratings based on Mathey and Clark: Evaluation of Hazard Trees in Urban Areas, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 1994

### RATING INFORMATION

Tree Condition Evaluation:

A tree's condition is determined from the average of the condition ratings established from the individual rating of the tree's roots, trunk, limb/branch structure, twigs and foliage. The condition ratings range from excellent to poor and are determined by a condition point system that weighs problems identified on each component of the tree. The condition point system is structured as follows: no apparent problem = A, minor problem = B, major problem = C, extreme problems = D and Dead = F

ROOTS	Condition =	LIMB/ BRANCH STRUCTURE Condition =		
• Root anchorage		<ul> <li>Strong attachments, no included bark</li> </ul>		
• Restricted root	system relative to canopy	<ul> <li>Free of decay and cavities</li> </ul>		
Mechanical Inju	ury	<ul> <li>Well-proportioned, good form</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Girdling roots</li> </ul>		Wound closure		
• Compaction or	water-logged roots	<ul> <li>Dead limbs/epicormic sprouting</li> </ul>		
• Presence of inse	ects or diseases	<ul> <li>Presence of insects and disease</li> </ul>		
TRUNK	Condition =	TWIGS	Condition =	
Sound Bark and wood, no cavities		Shoot vigor compared to past 3-year growth		
Upright trunk (v	well-tapered)	<ul> <li>Presence of weak or dead twigs</li> </ul>		
• Included bark b	etween co-dominant stems	<ul> <li>Presence of insects and disease</li> </ul>		
• Mechanical or f	fire injury			
• Cracks		FOLIAGE	Condition =	
• Swallowed or su	unken area	<ul> <li>Normal appearance (size, color, density)</li> </ul>		
Swallowed of St		<ul> <li>Nutrient deficiencies</li> </ul>		
• Presence of inse	ects or disease	Nutrient deficiencies		
	ects or disease	Herbicide, chemical in	njury symptoms	
	ects or disease		, , , ,	

## TREE HAZARD EVALUATION FORM

## Failure potential (4 points)

Failure potential identifies the most likely failure and rates the likelihood that the structural defects(s) will result in failure within the inspection period. Examples of ratings are:

- 1. Low: defects are minor (e.g. dieback of twigs, small wounds with good wound-wood development).
- 2. Medium: defects are present and obvious (e.g. cavity encompassing ten (10) to twenty-five (25) percent of the circumference of the trunk, co-dominant stems without included bark).
- 3. High: numerous and/or significant defects present (e.g. cavity encompassing thirty (30) to fifty (50) percent of the circumference of the trunk, multiple pruning wounds with decay along a branch).
- 4. Severe: defects are very severe (e.g. heart-rot decay conks along the main stem. Cavity encompassing more than fifty (50) percent of the trunk.

#### Size of defective part (4 points)

Size of defective part rates the size of the part most likely to fail. The larger the part that fails, the greater the potential for damage. Therefore, the size of the failure affects the hazard potential. Examples are:

- 1. Most likely failure less than six (6) inches (fifteen (15) cm) in diameter.
- 2. Most likely failure six (6) to eighteen (18) inches (fifteen (15) to forty-five (45) cm) in diameter.
- 3. Most likely failure eighteen (18) to thirty (30) inches (forty-five (45) to seventy-five (75) cm) in diameter.
- 4. Most likely failure greater than thirty (30) inches (seventy-five (75) cm) in diameter.

#### Target rating (4 points)

Target rating rates the use and occupancy of the area.

- Occasional use: (e.g. jogging/cycling trial).
- 2. Intermittent use: (e.g. picnic area, day-use parking).
- 3. Frequent-use secondary structure: (e.g. seasonal camping area, storage facilities).
- 4. Constant-use, structures: (e.g., year-round use for a number of hours each day, residences).

	The points in each category are added to obtain the overall hazard rating, with twelve (12) being the maximum value.  Hazard Rating = Failure Potential + Defective Size of Part + Target Rating							
Hazard Rating = (Failure Pot.)	+ Defective Part Size	+ Target Rating =						

The assignment of a rating is made with three (3) considerations in mind:

- Length of evaluation cycle
- Level of resolution required by the goals of the hazard management program
- Past history and rating

If records are not available and not employed in the process, then the rating reflects only one moment in time, rather than the long-term development of the tree. Ratings have only relative meaning (i.e., a tree rated an 11 has a greater hazard potential than one rated a 5). By definition, a tree rated a twelve (12) represents a significant hazard. But abating this hazard could be as simple as removing the target.